

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SIMPLE BCK/BCI-ALGEBRAS

KYOUNG JA LEE

ABSTRACT. The notions of a fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra and an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra are introduced. Using these notions, characterizations of a simple BCK/BCI-algebra are considered.

### 1. Introduction

Logic appears in a ‘sacred’ form (resp., a ‘profane’) which is dominant in proof theory (resp., model theory). The role of logic in mathematics and computer science is twofold; as a tool for applications in both areas, and a technique for laying the foundations. Non-classical logic including many-valued logic, fuzzy logic, etc., takes the advantage of the classical logic to handle information with various facets of uncertainty (see [15] for generalized theory of uncertainty), such as fuzziness, randomness, and so on. Non-classical logic has become a formal and useful tool for computer science to deal with fuzzy information and uncertain information. Among all kinds of uncertainties, incomparability is an important one which can be encountered in our life. BCK and BCI-algebras are two classes of logical algebras. They were introduced by Imai and Iséki (see [3, 4, 5, 6]) and have been extensively investigated by many researchers. It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. After the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [14], there have been a number of generalizations of this fundamental concept. A new type of fuzzy subgroup, that is, the  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup, was introduced in an earlier paper of Bhakat and Das [1] by using the combined notions of “elongingness” and “quasicoincidence”

---

Received February 22, 2019; Accepted April 04, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 08A72.

Key words and phrases: Simple BCK/BCI-algebra, fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra, fuzzy ideal,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideal,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra.

This paper has been supported by the 2018 Hannam University Research Fund.

of fuzzy points and fuzzy sets, which was introduced by Pu and Liu [13]. Jun [7, 8] introduced the concept of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy subalgebras (ideals) of a BCK/BCI-algebra and investigated related results.

In this paper, we introduce the notions of a fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra and an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra. Using these notions, we provide characterizations of a simple BCK/BCI-algebra.

## 2. Preliminaries

An algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a *BCI-algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (I)  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ ,
- (II)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ,
- (III)  $x * x = 0$ ,
- (IV) if  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$ , then  $x = y$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . If a BCI-algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  satisfies the following identity:

- (V)  $0 * x = 0$

for all  $x \in X$ , then  $(X; *, 0)$  is called a *BCK-algebra*. In the following, for notational convenience, we denote any BCK/BCI-algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  by  $X$ . Any BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a1)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- (a2) if  $x * y = 0$ , then  $(x * z) * (y * z) = 0$  and  $(z * y) * (z * x) = 0$ ,
- (a3)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ,
- (a4)  $((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . We can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

A subset  $A$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is called an *ideal* of  $X$  if it satisfies, for all  $x, y \in X$ , the following conditions:

- (b1)  $0 \in A$ ,
- (b2) if  $x * y \in A$  and  $y \in A$ , then  $x \in A$ .

A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is called a *fuzzy ideal* of  $X$  if it satisfies:

- (b3)  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ ,
- (b4)  $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a set  $X$  of the form

$$\mu(y) := \begin{cases} t \in (0, 1] & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x, \end{cases}$$

is said to be a *fuzzy point* with support  $x$  and value  $t$  and is denoted by  $[x; t]$ .

For a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a set  $X$ , we say that a fuzzy point  $[x; t]$  is

- (i) *contained* in  $\mu$ , denoted by  $[x; t] \in \mu$ , ([13]) if  $\mu(x) \geq t$ .
- (ii) *quasi-coincident* with  $\mu$ , denoted by  $[x; t] \mathfrak{q} \mu$ , ([13]) if  $\mu(x) + t > 1$ .

For a fuzzy point  $[x; t]$  and a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a set  $X$ , we say that

- (iii)  $[x; t] \in \vee \mathfrak{q} \mu$  if  $[x; t] \in \mu$  or  $[x; t] \mathfrak{q} \mu$ .
- (iv)  $[x; t] \bar{\alpha} \mu$  if  $[x; t] \alpha \mu$  does not hold for  $\alpha \in \{\in, \mathfrak{q}, \in \vee \mathfrak{q}\}$ .

We refer the reader to the books [2, 12] for further information regarding BCK/BCI-algebras.

### 3. Characterizations of simple BCK/BCI-algebras

For a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , consider the set

$$I_a := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq \mu(a)\}$$

where  $a \in X$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , then the set  $I_a$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $a \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ . The condition (b3) implies that  $0 \in I_a$  for all  $a \in X$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x * y \in I_a$  and  $y \in I_a$  for all  $a \in X$ . Then  $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a)$  and  $\mu(y) \geq \mu(a)$ . It follows from (b4) that  $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\} \geq \mu(a)$  so that  $x \in I_a$ . Therefore  $I_a$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $a \in X$ . □

LEMMA 3.2. *For any non-empty subset  $I$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , let  $\mu_I$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by*

$$\mu_I(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Then  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $\mu_I$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

DEFINITION 3.3. [12] A BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be *simple* if it has no non-zero proper ideal.

EXAMPLE 3.4. *Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a set with the  $*$ -operation given by Table 1. Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a simple BCK-algebra.*

EXAMPLE 3.5. *Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$  be a set with the  $*$ -operation given by Table 2. Then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a simple BCI-algebra.*

Table 1: \*-operation

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0	0
b	b	a	0	0	0
c	c	b	a	0	a
d	d	b	a	a	0

Table 2: \*-operation

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	d	c	b	a
a	a	0	d	c	b
b	b	a	0	d	c
c	c	b	a	0	d
d	d	c	b	a	0

DEFINITION 3.6. A BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be *fuzzy simple* if every fuzzy ideal of  $X$  is a constant function, that is, for every fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of  $X$ ,  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

THEOREM 3.7. For a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is simple.
- (2)  $X$  is fuzzy simple.

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is simple and let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Then the set  $I_a := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq \mu(a)\}$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $a \in X$  by Lemma 3.1. Since  $X$  is simple, we have  $I_a = S$  which implies  $y \in I_a$  for all  $y \in X$ . Hence  $\mu(y) \geq \mu(a)$ . Similarly, we get  $\mu(y) \leq \mu(a)$  and so  $\mu(y) = \mu(a)$  for all  $a, y \in X$ . Therefore  $X$  is fuzzy simple.

Conversely, assume that  $X$  is fuzzy simple. If there exists a non-zero proper ideal  $I$  of  $X$ , then  $\mu_I$  is an ideal of  $X$  by Lemma 3.2. Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $X$  is fuzzy simple, the fuzzy ideal  $\mu_I$  is a constant function. It follows that  $\mu_I(x) = \mu_I(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in I$  so that  $x \in I$ . Therefore  $X = I$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $X$  is simple.  $\square$

We now consider a characterization of a simple BCK/BCI-algebra based on the notion of  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras.

DEFINITION 3.8. [7] A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is called a *fuzzy ideal* of  $X$  with type  $(\in, \in \vee q)$  (briefly,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ ) if it satisfies:

- (c1) if  $[x; t] \in \mu$ , then  $[0; t] \in \vee q \mu$ ,
  - (c2) if  $[x * y; t_1] \in \mu$  and  $[y; t_2] \in \mu$ , then  $[x; \min\{t_1, t_2\}] \in \vee q \mu$
- for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, t_1, t_2 \in (0, 1]$ .

LEMMA 3.9. [7] A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if and only if it satisfies: for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

- (1)  $\mu(0) \geq \min\{\mu(x), 0.5\}$ ,
- (2)  $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y), 0.5\}$ .

For a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $a \in X$ , we consider the set  $J_a := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(a), 0.5\}\}$ .

**THEOREM 3.10.** *If  $\mu$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee \mathfrak{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , then the set  $J_a$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $a \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be an  $(\in, \in \vee \mathfrak{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  and  $a \in X$ . Using Lemma 3.9(1), we have  $0 \in J_a$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x * y \in J_a$  and  $y \in J_a$ . Then  $\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(a), 0.5\}$  and  $\mu(y) \geq \min\{\mu(a), 0.5\}$ . It follows from Lemma 3.9(2) that

$$\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y), 0.5\} \geq \min\{\mu(a), 0.5\}$$

so that  $x \in J_a$ . Hence  $J_a$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $a \in X$ . □

For any fuzzy subset  $\mu$  and an ideal  $I$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , we define two fuzzy subsets  $\mu^*$  and  $\mu_I^-$  of  $X$  by  $\mu^*(x) = \min\{\mu(x), 0.5\}$  and

$$\mu_I^-(x) := \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } x \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

respectively, for all  $x \in X$ .

**THEOREM 3.11.** *If  $\mu$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee \mathfrak{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , then  $\mu^*$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ . Using Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(0) &= \min\{\mu(0), 0.5\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu(x), 0.5\}, 0.5\} = \min\{\mu(x), 0.5\} = \mu^*(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(x) &= \min\{\mu(x), 0.5\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y), 0.5\}, 0.5\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu(x * y), 0.5\}, \min\{\mu(y), 0.5\}\} \\ &= \min\{\mu^*(x * y), \mu^*(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu^*$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . □

**THEOREM 3.12.** *For any fuzzy subset  $\mu$  and a subset  $I$  of a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , the fuzzy subset  $\mu_I^-$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee \mathfrak{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $X$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $\mu_I^-(0) = 0.5 \geq \min\{\mu_I^-(x), 0.5\}$ . If  $x \in I$ , then  $\mu_I^-(x) = 0.5 \geq \min\{\mu_I^-(x * y), \mu_I^-(y), 0.5\}$ . Suppose  $x \notin I$ . Then  $x * y \notin I$  or  $y \notin I$  because  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$ . It

follows that  $\mu_I^-(x) = 0 = \min\{\mu_I^-(x * y), \mu_I^-(y), 0.5\}$ . Using Lemma 3.9, we know that  $\mu_I^-$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\mu_I^-$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose  $0 \notin I$ . Then  $\mu_I^-(0) = 0$ , and so  $[0; 0.5] \bar{\in} \mu_I^-$ . If  $x \in I$ , then  $\mu_I^-(x) = 0.5$  and thus  $[x; 0.5] \in \mu_I^-$ . Hence  $[0; 0.5] \in \vee \text{q} \mu_I^-$  by (c1), and so  $[0; 0.5] \text{q} \mu_I^-$ , i.e.,  $\mu_I^-(0) + 0.5 > 1$ . This is a contradiction, and so  $0 \in I$ . Assume that  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ . Then  $\mu_I^-(x * y) = 0.5 = \mu_I^-(y)$ , and so  $[x * y; 0.5] \in \mu_I^-$  and  $[y; 0.5] \in \mu_I^-$ . Since  $\mu_I^-$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , it follows that  $[x; 0.5] \in \vee \text{q} \mu_I^-$  so that  $[x; 0.5] \in \mu_I^-$  or  $[x; 0.5] \text{q} \mu_I^-$ . Hence  $\mu_I^-(x) \geq 0.5$  or  $\mu_I^-(x) + 0.5 > 1$ , which implies that  $\mu_I^-(x) = 0.5$ . Hence  $x \in I$ . Therefore  $I$  is an ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.13.** A BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$  is said to be  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy simple if for any  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of  $X$ ,  $\mu^*$  is a constant function.

**THEOREM 3.14.** For a BCK/BCI-algebra  $X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is simple.
- (2)  $X$  is  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy simple.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\mu$  be an  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Then the set  $J_a$  is an ideal of  $X$  for all  $a \in X$  (see Theorem 3.10). Since  $X$  is simple, we have  $J_a = X$  and so  $x \in J_a$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus  $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(a), 0.5\}$ , which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(x) &= \min\{\mu(x), 0.5\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu(a), 0.5\}, 0.5\} = \min\{\mu(a), 0.5\} = \mu^*(a). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $\mu^*(x) \leq \mu^*(a)$ . Therefore  $\mu^*(x) = \mu^*(a)$  for all  $a, x \in X$ , that is,  $\mu^*$  is a constant function. Consequently,  $X$  is  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy simple.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Assume that  $X$  is not simple. Then there exists a non-zero proper ideal  $I$  of  $X$ . Using Theorem 3.12,  $\mu_I^-$  is an  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $(\in, \in \vee \text{q})$ -fuzzy simple,  $(\mu_I^-)^*$  is a constant function. Hence for every  $a \in I$  and  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\min\{\mu_I^-(x), 0.5\} = (\mu_I^-)^*(x) = (\mu_I^-)^*(a) = \min\{\mu_I^-(a), 0.5\} = 0.5,$$

and so  $\mu_I^-(x) = 0.5$ . This shows that  $x \in I$  so that  $I = X$ . This is a contradiction, and therefore  $X$  is simple.  $\square$

#### 4. Conclusions

BCK-algebras [12] have several connections with other areas of investigation, such as: lattice ordered groups, MV-algebras, Wajsberg algebras, and implicative commutative semigroups. Soft sets are deeply related to fuzzy sets and rough sets. The soft set theory is applied to BCK/BCI-algebras by the first author (see [9]), and applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras are carried out by Jun and Park [10]. Jun and Song [11] studied soft subalgebras and soft ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras related to fuzzy set theory. To investigate the structure of an algebraic system, it is clear that ideals with special properties play an important role. In this paper, we considered the notions of a fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra and an  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy simple BCK/BCI-algebra. Using these notions, we provided characterizations of a simple BCK/BCI-algebra. It is our hope that this work would serve as a foundation for further study of the theory of BCK/BCI-algebras. Moreover, we would like to apply the notions/results in this article to other algebraic structures, and we will try to get another characterization of a simple BCK/BCI-algebra by using soft set theory.

#### References

- [1] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das,  $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subgroup, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **80** (1996), 359–368.
- [2] Y. S. Huang, *BCI-algebra*, Science Press, China, 2006.
- [3] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, *On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XIV*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **42** (1966), 19–22.
- [4] K. Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **42** (1966), 26–29.
- [5] K. Iséki, *On BCI-algebras*, *Math. Seminar Notes*, **8** (1980), 125–130.
- [6] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras*, *Math. Japonica*, **23** (1978), 1–26.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, *On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras*, *Sci. Math. Jpn.* **60** (2004), 613–617.
- [8] Y. B. Jun, *On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -fuzzy subalgebras of BCK/BCI-algebras*, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **42** (2005), 703–711.
- [9] Y. B. Jun, *Soft BCK/BCI-algebras*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56** (2008), 1408–1413.
- [10] Y. B. Jun and C. H. Park, *Applications of soft sets in ideal theory of BCK/BCI-algebras*, *Inform. Sci.* **178** (2008), 2466–2475.
- [11] Y. B. Jun and S. Z. Song, *Soft subalgebras and soft ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras related to fuzzy set theory*, *Math. Commun.* **14** (2009), 271–282.
- [12] J. Meng, Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 1994.
- [13] P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, *Fuzzy topology I, Neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **76** (1980), 571–599.

- [14] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, **8** (1965), 338–353.
- [15] L. A. Zadeh, *Toward a generalized theory of uncertainty (GTU)-an outline*, Inform. Sci. **172** (2005), 1–40.

Department of Mathematics Education  
Hannam University  
Daejeon 306-791, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: lsj1109@hotmail.com